

CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. If additional space is needed, please use the second page. Be sure to identify the additional discussion with the appropriate number and heading. Retain a copy of the CF 24 for your records.

- Questions from counties, including county Quality Control, must be submitted by the county CalFresh Coordinator and may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility for the county, with a copy directed to the appropriate CalFresh Policy unit manager.
- Questions from Administrative Law Judges may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility to the county where the hearing took place, with a copy of the form directed to the appropriate CalFresh Bureau unit manager.

1. RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy/Regulation Interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> QC <input type="checkbox"/> Fair Hearing <input type="checkbox"/> Other:	5. DATE OF REQUEST: 04/04/2016	NEED RESPONSE BY: 04/18/2016
2. REQUESTOR NAME: Andrea Saldana	6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION: Riverside County	
3. PHONE NO.: 951-358-4144	7. SUBJECT: IEVS PVS and VUR	
4. REGULATION CITE(S):	8. REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references) NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s). ACL 13-17, ACIN I-58-13	

9. QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY):

A CalFresh case has an IEVS PVS with a 02/29/16 run date which is considered VUR. Per the PVS report, the customer receives SDI which will affect the budget. The PVS was reviewed and processed on 03/28/16 and is considered timely for an IEVS report. If PVS would have been reviewed prior to ten day, VUR action would have been effective 04/01/16. Since the PVS was reviewed 03/28/16, the VUR action is effective 05/01/16.

- In these circumstances, do we create an OI for 04/2016?
- Will processing an IEVS PVS report within the 45 day time frame but not in time for action to be taken the next month cause a QC error?

10. REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER:

The IEV PVS is VUR as of the run date 02/29/16 and an OI exists for 04/2016.

11. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY):

CDSS disagrees with the county's proposed answers. Per ACL 12-25, page 77, "OIs will not be assessed based on a timely, accurate and complete report where the 10-day notice alone delayed issuance of the correct allotment." Because the IEVS report was processed timely, but not in time to provide the client with adequate 10 day notice; there will be no overissuance established for April.

As to question 2, because everything in the process was done timely, there would be no QC error.

FOR CDSS USE

DATE RECEIVED: April 5, 2016	DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ: April 8, 2016 (WEB) Revised May 4, 2016
---------------------------------	--